IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

CHARLES C. FREENY III, BRYAN E. FREENY, and JAMES P. FREENY,

Case No. 2:14-cv-01034

Plaintiffs,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

v.

EDIMAX COMPUTER COMPANY,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs Charles C. Freeny III, Bryan E. Freeny, and James P. Freeny (collectively "Plaintiffs"), for their Complaint against Defendant Edimax Computer Company, hereby allege as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Charles C. Freeny III is an individual residing in Flower Mound, Texas.
- 2. Plaintiff Bryan E. Freeny is an individual residing in Ft. Worth, Texas.
- 3. Plaintiff James P. Freeny is an individual residing in Spring, Texas.
- 4. On information and belief, Defendant Edimax Computer Company ("Edimax") is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, having its principal place of business at 3350 Scott Blvd. #15, Santa Clara, California 95054.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. §§101 et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal law claims under 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a).

- 6. This Court has specific and/or general personal jurisdiction over Defendant Edimax because it has committed acts giving rise to this action within this judicial district and/or has established minimum contacts within Texas and within this judicial district such that the exercise of jurisdiction over each would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 7. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b)-(c) and 1400(b) because Edimax has committed acts within this judicial district giving rise to this action, and continue to conduct business in this district, and/or have committed acts of patent infringement within this District giving rise to this action.

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,110,744

- 8. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the Paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 9. On September 19, 2006, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and lawfully issued United States Patent Number 7,110,744 ("the '744 patent") entitled "Communication and Proximity Authorization Systems." A true and correct copy of the '744 patent is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- 10. The named inventor of the '744 patent is Charles C. Freeny, Jr., who is now deceased.
- 11. Plaintiffs are the sons of Charles C. Freeny, Jr., and Plaintiffs are the owners and assignees of all right, title and interest in and to the '744 patent, including the right to assert all causes of action arising under said patent and the right to any remedies for infringement of it.
- 12. On information and belief, Edimax has been and now is infringing the '744 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States by, among other

things, making, using, importing, offering to sell, and/or selling in the United States dual band wireless networking products that embody the inventions claimed in the '744 patent, including but not limited to the Edimax AC1200 Multi-Function Concurrent Dual-Band Wi-Fi Gigabit Router (BR-6478AC) and all reasonably similar products ("the accused Edimax networking products").

- or more claims of the '744 patent by inducing its customers' infringement of the '744 patent using the accused Edimax networking products. On information and belief, Edimax has had knowledge of the '744 patent since at least the service date of the Complaint in this action. Despite this knowledge of the '744 patent, Edimax has continued to engage in activities to encourage and assist its customers in the use of the accused Edimax networking products. For example, through its website at us.edimax.com/edimax/us/, Edimax advertises and provides instructions on how to use the accused Edimax networking products. On information and belief, by using the accused Edimax networking products as encouraged and assisted by Edimax, Edimax's customers have directly infringed and continue to directly infringe one or more claims of the '744 patent. On information and belief, Edimax knew or was willfully blind to the fact that its activities in encouraging and assisting customers in the use of the accused Edimax networking products, including but not limited to the activities set forth above, would induce its customers' direct infringement of the '744 patent.
- 14. On information and belief, Edimax will continue to infringe the '744 patent unless enjoined by this Court.
- 15. Edimax's acts of infringement have damaged Plaintiffs in an amount to be proven at trial, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty. Edimax's infringement of Plaintiffs' rights

under the '744 patent will continue to damage Plaintiffs, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter judgment against Edimax as follows:

- a. For judgment that Edimax has infringed and continues to infringe the claims of the '744 patent;
- b. For preliminary and permanent injunction against Edimax and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringement of the '744 patent;
- c. For an accounting of all damages caused by Edimax's acts of infringement;
- d. For damages to be paid by Edimax adequate to compensate Plaintiffs for such infringement, including interest, costs and disbursement as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284; and
- e. For such other relief at law and in equity as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: November 12, 2014 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher D. Banys

Christopher D. Banys - Lead Attorney

BANYS, P.C.

Christopher D. Banys Richard C. Lin Jennifer L. Gilbert

SBN: 230038 (California) SBN: 209233 (California) SBN: 255820 (California) Eric J. Sidebotham SBN: 208829 (California)

1032 Elwell Court, Suite 100

Palo Alto, CA 94303 Tel: (650) 308-8505 Fax: (650) 353-2202 cdb@banyspc.com rcl@banyspc.com

jlg@banyspc.com

ejs@banyspc.com

LOCAL COUNSEL:

WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM

Wesley Hill SBN: 24032294

P.O. Box 1231

1127 Judson Rd., Ste. 220

Longview, TX 75601

Tel: (903) 757-6400

Fax: (903) 757-2323 wh@wsfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS CHARLES C. FREENY III, BRYAN E. FREENY, AND JAMES P. FREENY